

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,151	09/10/2003	Ernst Konecnik	451.1004PAT	5222
33369 7:	590 07/22/2005		EXAMINER	
FASTH LAW OFFICES (ROLF FASTH) 26 PINECREST PLAZA, SUITE 2			LAI, ANNE	VIET NGA
SOUTHERN PINES, NC 28387-4301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		2636	,

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/659,151	KONECNIK, ERNST			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Anne V. Lai	2636			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo	• •		•			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 h	May 2005.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 6-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6-7 and 9-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers	•				
. 9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.				
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 May 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Information	ct(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The proposed drawing received on May 11 2005 is acceptable for examination purpose only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

See attached form PTO-948.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 6, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Corton et al [GB. 2,158,277].

In claims 6 and 11, **Corton et al** (fig. 1; page 1, lines 38-57) disclose a method for detecting unauthorized removal of electrical equipment connected to a power source; wherein

a first current detection unit in an arrangement (fig. 1) detects current delivered to the electrical equipment;

when current is sensed below a predetermined value, a check signal is sent to a sender unit (relay contacts RL1 change over to the loop detection circuit); then

the sender unit (loop detection circuit) sends a bounce back signal to the electrical equipment (monitors the load is in series with the neutral and earth link); and

Application/Control Number: 10/659,151

Art Unit: 2636

an alarm signal is generated when the current is sensed below a predetermined level and the sender unit does not receive the bounce back signal (see also DERWENT 1985-278510 Basic-abstracts).

In claim 9, **Corton et al** disclose the sender unit (loop detection circuit) is included in the arrangement (fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Corton et al** in view of **Sander et al** [US. 5,231,375].

In claim 7, **Corton et al** do not disclose the alarm center; **Sander et al** teach a method for detecting theft of electronic equipment wherein a local alarm signal can be sent to a central alarm (abstract; fig. 3). It would have been obvious to any one of ordinary skill in the art that the alarm signal of **Corton et al** can be sent to a central alarm for effectively broadcasting the alarm situation.

In claim 10, **Corton et al** fail to disclose sensing resistance of an audio-contact of the electrical equipment; **Sander et al** teach a resistance sensor unit in the arrangement 1010 (sensing resistors 46, 47; figs. 1 and 3; col. 5, line 56) connected to the electronic equipment (1000, 1020) for sending a signal to the central alarm if the resistance is different to a predetermined value (col. 8 line 53 through col. 9, line 47);

Application/Control Number: 10/659,151

Art Unit: 2636

the electronic equipment 1020 is disclosed as data communication module; and the alarm is disclosed can be sounded in the area of the disconnected equipment, or connecting a telephone call to a security location, or transmit a predetermined message to an external loudspeaker, therefore audio-contact is inherent (col. 4, lines 50-58). It would have been obvious to any one having ordinary skill in the art to use the existing audio device of the protected equipment for sounding alarm for cost and part cutting purpose.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 8 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/659,151

Art Unit: 2636

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Lee, S. M. Curry, Austerman, Rothbaum, and Cummings.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne V. Lai whose telephone number is 571-272-2974. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:30 pm, Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hofsass Jeffery can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MU

A. V. Lai July 21, 2005

JEFFERY HOFSASS

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600